



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/763,725	01/22/2004	Gurcan Aral	A-1451/Tank-228	9411
7590 11/17/2005			EXAMINER	
Law Offices of Boris G. Tankhilevich			NGUYEN, TAN QUANG	
Suite A			APTIBUT	PAPER NUMBER
536 N. Civic Drive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Walnut Creek, CA 94597			3661	

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	10/763,725 Examiner	ARAL, GURCAN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	
		Art Unit
	TAN Q. NGUYEN	3661
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a red d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. sply be timely filed IHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matte	·
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-52 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-52 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ 	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand ction is required if the drawing(s)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. Its have been received in Apporting documents have been and the properties of	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 01/22/04; 11/08/05.	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/763,725

Art Unit: 3661

DETAIL ACTION

Notice to Applicant(s)

- 1. This application has been examined. Claims 1-52 are pending.
- 2. The prior art submitted on January 22, 2004 and November 08, 2005 have been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-7, 9-15, 17-25, 27-35, 37-43 and 45-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dickson et al. (6,445,983).
- 5. As per claim 1, Dickson et al. disclose the invention as claimed which includes the steps of obtaining a set of positioning data of the vehicle using a navigation with a navigation antenna mounted at an optimum antenna position (see at least figure 2, step 100 and figure 5), modifying the set of positioning data of the vehicle (see at least figure 2, step 110), measuring a steering angle of the front wheel and calculating a correction to the measured steering angle of the 2-D plane (see at least figures 1, 3 and the related text), and performing a steering action by using the correction to the measured steering angle (see at least figure 3, steps 260).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/763,725

Art Unit: 3661

6. As per claim 2, Dickson et al. disclose that the navigation system may includes a GPS receiver (see column 2, lines 45-53).

- 7. As per claim 3, Dickson et al. further disclose the distance between the optimum antenna position and the center of gravity position (see at least column 5, lines 52-66).
- 8. As per claim 4 and 5, Dickson et al. also disclose the use of angular sensor or rotary potentiometer to measure the steering angle (see column 3, lines 39-47).
- 9. As per claim 6, Dickson et al. disclose that the step of calculating the steering correction includes the step of feeding a control data into the steering control algorithm (see at least column 3, lines 36-50).
- 10. As per claim 7, Dickson et al. disclose the hydraulic steering system (see at least column 3, lines 51-67).
- 11. With respect to claims 9-15, the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejections above. Dickson et al. further disclose that the system can be used in the 3-D surface (see at least column 4, lines 36-56). They are therefore considered rejected as set forth above.
- 12. With respect to claims 17-25, 27-25, 37-43 and 45-51, the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejections above. They are therefore considered rejected as set forth above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/763,725

Art Unit: 3661

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 14. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 15. Claims 8, 16, 26, 36, 44 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dickson et al. as applied to the claims above, and further in view of Fujioka et al. (6,886,656).
- 16. Dickson et al. disclose the claimed invention as discussed above except for the use of "flight by wire" system for controlling the steering angle. However, such "flight by wire" system is well known in the art at the time the invention was made and is shown in at least figure 1 of the Fujioka et al. reference. It would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art to use to flight by wire system as shown in the Fujioka et al. reference to control the steering angle in order to reduce all the mechanical of the conventional steering system.

Conclusion

- 17. All claims are rejected.
- 18. The following references are cited as being of general interest: Ono (5,299,130), Yamashita (5,639,709), Diekhans (6,073,070), Hrovat et al. (6,184,821), Quincke

Application/Control Number: 10/763,725

Art Unit: 3661

(6,345,231), Carlson et al. (6,655,465), Bevly et al. (6,681,180), Rekow et al.

(6,789,014), and Upadhyaya et al. (6,941,225).

19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Tan Q. Nguyen, whose telephone number is (571) 272-6966. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 5:30 AM-4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black, can be reached on (571) 272-6956.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to the Official Fax Center:

(571) 273-8300

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/tqn November 14, 2005 TAN Q. NGUYEN) Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3661